1	MICHAEL R. MUSHKIN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 2421	
2	L. JOE COPPEDGE, ESQ.	
3	Nevada Bar No. 4954 MUSHKIN CICA COPPEDGE	
4	4495 S. Pecos Road	
5	Las Vegas, NV 89121 Telephone: 702-454-3333	
6	Facsimile: 702-386-4788 michael@mccnvlaw.com	
7	jcoppedge@mccnvlaw.com	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Brian Hebert	
9		CEDICE COUDE
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11	BRIAN HEBERT,	
12	·	Case No.: 2:17-cv-01536-KJD-CWH
13	Plaintiff,	
14	vs.	
15	THE LITIGATION DOCUMENT GROUP,	
16	INC., et al.,	
17	Defendants.	
	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES	
18	FOR FOURTEEN (14) DAYS (Second First Request)	
19	Pursuant to LR 26-4, the Parties hereto, by and through their attorneys of record,	
20	respectfully submit their Stipulation and Order to extend the discovery and related deadlines	
21	two (2) weeks. In support of this stipulation, the parties submit the following:	
22		
23		
24	The undersigned counsel for Plaintiff did not appear in this case until October 17,	
25	2017. The parties held their previously scheduled Rule 26(f) conference on October 23,	
26	2017, and the Court entered the Scheduling Order (ECF No. 31) on December 20, 2017. The	
27	parties served their Initial Disclosures of documents. However, the Early Neutral Evaluation	
28	("ENE"), originally scheduled for October 24, 2017 was postponed multiple times, initially	

due to a family emergency and multiple times since for scheduling conflicts. The ENE did not take place until January 29, 2018. During the time, the parties did not engage in formal discovery.

## B. <u>Discovery remaining to be completed</u>:

The parties anticipate taking the deposition of the following witnesses:

Brian Hebert

Craig Renard

Laury Stoeser

Discovery is currently scheduled to close on May 11, 2018. The scheduling order, as amended, includes two (2) deadlines relative to the close of discovery.

The last date to file dispositive motions is June 12, 2018.

The last date to file the joint pretrial order is July 10, 2018.

## C. Reasons why the existing deadlines cannot be satisfied.

As a result of the scheduling conflicts, the ENE was not conducted until January 29, 2018. During this time, the parties did not take the anticipated depositions in an effort to minimize legal fees and expenses. Following the conclusion of the ENE, the counsel for the parties have been in communication several times regarding the scheduling of the above depositions. As the discovery deadline approached, counsel for Plaintiff unilaterally scheduled the depositions of Craig Renard and Laury Stoeser for May 10, 2018 prior to the close of discovery. However, since scheduling the depositions, the parties have been advised that Mr. Renard is out of town and unavailable until May 18, 2018. The parties have agreed to continue the depositions to that date.

## D. Good cause exists for requesting the extension.

Pursuant to LR 26-4, a stipulation to extend a deadline set forth in a discovery plan made within 21 days of the subject deadline must be supported by a showing of good cause. As set forth above, the parties did not learn of Mr. Renard's unavailability until early May 2018, which was within 21 days of the subject deadline. Since the parties did not know of this scheduling conflict, good cause exists for the short two (2) week extension.